

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,181	KISLEY, RICHARD VICTOR	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Sathyanarayan Pannala.

(3) _____.

 (2) Brian Kunzler.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2 January 2008
Time: 11 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

16 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


SATHYANARAYAN PANNALA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Applicant's representative for compact prosecution and discussed as well as suggested to amend the claim 16 to overcome rejection under U.S.C. 101 and to cancel the claim 30. Applicant in consultation with client confirmed and authorized to Amend the same by Examiner's Amendment to expedite the prosecution. . .